1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA 9 10 KEITH ADAIR DAVIS, CASE NO. 3:16-CV-05129-BHS-DWC 11 Plaintiff, ORDER TO PROVIDE ADDRESSES 12 v. 13 WASHINGTON STATE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS, 14 et al., Defendants. 15 16 Plaintiff Keith Adair Davis, proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil 17 rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On July 11, 2016, the Court directed service of 18 Plaintiff's Amended Complaint on the named Defendants. Dkt. 13. The Clerk's Office mailed 19 the Complaint and waiver of service forms to each Defendant. However, the mailings sent to 20 Defendants ADA Coordinator, Dale Robertson, D MacWilliam, and John Doe, Secretary were 21 returned to the Court as "undeliverable." Dkt. 14-17. Plaintiff also named Jane Does 1-10 and 22 John Does 1-15 as defendants in this case, but failed to provide any identification of the Doe 23 Defendants which would allow the Court to effect service. See Dkt. 11. 24

1	While the Court has the duty to serve the summons and complaint, see 28 U.S.C. §
2	1915(d), an IFP plaintiff still bears the burden of providing accurate and sufficient information to
3	effect service. See Walker v. Sumner, 14 F.3d 1415 (9th Cir. 1994); see also Fed. R. Civ. P. 4.
4	When an IFP plaintiff fails to provide the Court with accurate and sufficient information to effect
5	service of the summons and complaint, it is appropriate for the Court to sua sponte dismiss the
6	unserved defendant. Walker, 14 F.3d at 1421-22 (quoting Puett v. Blanford, 912 F.2d 270, 275
7	(9th Cir. 1990), abrogated on other grounds by Sandin v. Connor, 515 U.S. 472 (1995)).
8	Plaintiff is directed to provide the complete names and addresses for Defendants ADA
9	Coordinator, Dale Robertson, D. MacWilliam, John Doe, Secretary, Jane Does 1-10, and John
10	Does 1-15. If Plaintiff is unable to provide the Court with the names and addresses of these
11	Defendants by October 3, 2016, the Court will recommend dismissal of these Defendants from
12	this action for failure to prosecute. 1
13	Dated this 1st day of September, 2016.
14	MoMuito
15	David W. Christel
16	United States Magistrate Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	¹ The Court notes Defendant Dean Mason failed to file a waiver of service. Defendant Mason, however, filed an Answer and did not raise insufficient service as an affirmative defense. <i>See</i> Dkt. 43. Therefore, the Court will not direct personal service on Defendant Mason, but requests Defendant Mason or his counsel file a waiver of
24	service to perfect the record.